1	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577	
2	JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP	
3	Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400	
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: (415) 344-7000	
5	Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com	
6	Email: <u>IGitterman@perkinscoie.com</u> Email: <u>CJhang@perkinscoie.com</u>	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
11		
12	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD	CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW
13	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
14	Plaintiffs,	MOTION PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79- 5(d) TO FILE UNDER SEAL
15	V.	PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY
16	GOOGLE, INC.,	MEMORANDUM (DOCUMENT NOS. 163-165)
17	Defendant.	Date: June 11, 2007
18		Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: Courtroom 8
19		Judge: Honorable James Ware
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
	CASE NO. 05-03649 41063-0023/LEGAL13292732.1	[41063-0023-00000/13292732_1.DOC]

1 **ORDER** The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a 2 3 Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Administrative Motion Pursuant To Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) To 4 File Under Seal Portions Of Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Memorandum Due To 5 Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Document") 6 (Document Nos. 163-165), the Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' 7 Motion to Seal Document, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause appearing: 8 1. 9 That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Document, in connection with Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply 10 Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment ("Plaintiffs' 11 Supplemental Reply Memorandum"), include information and testimony that Google has 12 13 designated confidential; and 2. 14 That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Memorandum 15 is subject to seal because of overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public 16 access to the record in these documents. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED: 17 18 1. That Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Document is GRANTED. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 22 DATED: The Honorable James Ware 23 United States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28 -2-